


Tangible Interfaces and Instrumental Interaction

Michel Beaudouin-Lafon
Université Paris-Sud & Institut Universitaire de France


FGTIS'13 - Biarritz - August 2013



In Situ - Situated Interaction

Interaction and Visualization paradigms

W. Mackay




Mediated Communication



Participatory Design



Engineering of Interactive Systems



What happened to the future promised by Tangible Interfaces?

- “The term Graspable UI refers to both the ability to physically grasp an object (i.e., placing a hand on an object) as well as conceptual grasping (i.e., to take hold of intellectually or to comprehend)” - George Fitzmaurice




Fitzmaurice, 1995



Reactable, 2005

What happened to the future promised by Augmented Reality?

- “From the isolation of our workstations we try to interact with our surrounding environment, but the two worlds have little in common. How can we escape from the computer screen and bring these two worlds together?” - Wellner, Mackay & Gold



Wellner, 1991



Wellner, Mackay
& Gold, CACM'93

What happened to the future promised by Ubicomp?

- “The most profound technologies are those that disappear. They weave themselves into the fabric of everyday life until they are undistinguishable from it.” - Mark Weiser



Weiser, 1991



Rekimoto, 1997

The missing link

- Between
the interaction paradigm,
illustrated by some prototypes,
and

the principled design
of effective interfaces
based on the paradigm



We need
new Interaction Models
and associated tools
to reinvent user interfaces
based on tangible interaction
(or AR, or RBI, or NUI, etc.)


What is an Interaction Model?

- A set of rules and guidelines to help create consistent interactive systems according to a certain style
 - **Descriptive:** define the scope of the design space
 - **Prescriptive:** provide criteria to compare designs
 - **Generative:** support creativity and inspire new designs


Examples of Interaction Models

- CLI: dialogue - language - syntax
- GUI: direct manipulation - desktop metaphor
- AR / VR / TUI / Ubicomp / NUI / RBI : ?


Interaction Model



Interaction Model




Tools and Instruments




L'encyclopédie - Diderot & d'Alembert, 1751-1772






The power of tools



- Gibson's Ecological Theory:
 - Affordances = possibilities for action in the environment relative to the capabilities of the subject
- Tools redefine the affordances of the environment because they change the capabilities of the subject

Gibson on tools



- “When in use, a tool is a sort of extension of the hand, almost an attachment to it or a part of the user's own body, and thus is no longer a part of the environment of the user. [...] This *capacity to attach something to the body* [...] suggests that the absolute duality of "objective" and "subjective" is false.” (Gibson, emphasis by the author)
- affordances of objects that afford manipulation (i.e., tools): stick, trace-making, etc.

The power of tools

- The user of a tool internalizes the tool as an extension of one's body




The power of tools

- Holding a pen raises awareness for the affordance for writability



Instrumental Interaction


- Mediated interaction: user - instrument - object of interest
- An instrument **reifies** a command
- Use the same instrument with different objects (polymorphism)



Beaudouin-Lafon, CHI '00

Instrumental Interaction: descriptive


- Covers many interaction styles:
 - Traditional GUI
 - Novel techniques
 - Tangible interaction




Instrumental Interaction: prescriptive

- Provides metrics to compare instruments, for example:

- Degree of indirection




- Degree of integration



Instrumental Interaction: generative

- **3 design principles:**
- **Reification:** extends the notion of what constitutes an object
- **Polymorphism:** extends the power of instruments w.r.t. objects
- **Reuse:** provides a way of capturing and reusing interaction patterns



Not all interaction is instrumental

Non-instrumental

Instrumental

With contact



Without contact



Not all interaction is instrumental

Non-instrumental

Instrumental

With contact



Without contact




Proof-of-concept: CPN2000

- Bi-manual interaction, Marking menus, Toolglasses

- Combines power and simplicity



- 40 000+ downloads



Lassen & Beaudouin-Lafon, UIST '00

Ubiquitous Instrumental Interaction

- Detaching instruments from the objects of interest ... and from applications
- Instruments spanning multiple interaction surfaces
- **Multisurface interaction**




Klokrose & Beaudouin-Lafon, CHI '09

Multisurface Interaction in the WILD room

Video supplement
IEEE Computer, April 2012

© |in|situ| 2012

Exploring instruments for Multisurface Interaction



Participatory Design

- Create new ways to interact with complex data
- Transport objects with the “shovel”




Reminiscent of Rekimoto's pick and drop




Rekimoto, 1997

Participatory Design

- Create new ways to interact with complex data
- Use a tablet as a magic lens





Reminiscent of Fitzmaurice's Chameleon



Fitzmaurice, CACM'93

Participatory Design

- Create new ways to interact with complex data
- Use a prop to control online objects




Software: Substance Grise

- Display 64 3D brain scans with VISA/Anatomist
- Organize them on the table
- Control their orientation in real time through a prop



Substance Grise



Reminiscent of Hinckley's neurosurgical props






Hinckley et al, CHI 94

Interaction protocols

- Describe which instruments can operate on which objects
- Support exploration and appropriation (including breaking things)
- Explicit compatibility: object advertises its capabilities
- Implicit compatibility: instrument discovers objects' properties




Example: color pickers



Example: color pickers

- Select a color:
 - From an external object
 - From a color space
 - From a color palette



- Explicit compatibility: SetColor/GetColor methods
Implicit compatibility: a property of the object is a color




Information substrates

- Data does not exist in a vacuum
- Substrates provide context for interpreting data and constraints for presenting and interacting with it
- Examples: table, page-based layout, graph, musical score



Meta-model



(instruments are also objects living in a substrate)

Instruments & Tangible Interfaces




- Tangibles can be instruments, for manipulating information
- Tangibles can also be containers, representing information
- Few tangible interfaces use both
- The interaction model is often ad hoc



Tokens + Constraints

Ullmer, Ishii & Jacob, 2004

- The interaction model is about constraining the motion of physical objects
- Defines a physical syntax based on degrees of freedom
- The semantics is not always clear: no underlying psychological principles



Reification



- Turns concepts into objects
- In particular, turns commands into instruments
- Interaction instrument
 - Example : scrolling a document => scrollbar
 - Reification of a command into an interface widget





Magnetic Guidelines

- Align command:
align now and forget it




vs.

- Align instrument:
align and keep aligned




Tangible instruments: Graspables

Fitzmaurice, 1995



Polymorphism



- Extends commands to multiple object types
 - Common examples: Cut, paste, delete, move
- Instruments can be applied to many different objects
- Groups take advantage of polymorphism:
Applying a command to a group applies it to each object

Tangible polymorphism: Slap Widgets

Weiss, Wagner, Jansen & Borchers, 2009



Reuse



- Captures interaction patterns for later reuse
- Output reuse
 - Reuse previously created objects
 - Example: duplicate, copy/paste
- Input reuse
 - Reuse previous commands
 - Example: redo, history, macros

Tangible reuse: Media Blocks





Ullmer, Glas & Ishii, 1998

- Limited form of output reuse: a block can change content
- Limited form of input reuse: replacing a block or changing its location



Combining the principles

- **Layers:** Reify modes
 - Control visual complexity
- **Styles:** Reify collections of attributes
 - Support polymorphism, encourage reuse
- **Groups:** Reify selection
 - Support polymorphism



Tangible combinations: DataTiles

Rekimoto, Ullmer & Oba, 2001

- Some tiles represent content, others are instruments: reification
- Spatial combinations specify chains of computation: polymorphism of the tiles
- Changing a tile in the chain reuses the chain: reuse



Substrates

- Not just a view (in the sense of MVC)
- Representation and physical organization of data
- Affordances for certain operations: layout and spatial organization, data flow (a graph linked to a table), ...
- Can embed instruments, e.g. magnetic guidelines. Similar to scaffolding when creating a building



Tangible substrates: Video Mosaic

Mackay & Pagani, 1994


- Storyboard elements printed on paper
- Laying out time in space to organize a sequence of clips
- Paper buttons



Tangible substrates: URP

Underkoffler & Ishii, 1999


- Tangible representation of buildings
- Real-time display of simulation data (wind, lighting, ...)
- Tangible tools to control the simulation



Tangible substrates: TinkerLamp



Zuffery, 2010

- Tangible representation of a warehouse to train students
- Also uses interactive paper to control the simulation
- Used for teaching at a vocational school in Switzerland



Paper substrates for music composition

Garcia, Tsandilas, Agon & Mackay, 2012



Appropriation

- Explicitly support unanticipated use
- Co-adaptation (Mackay)
- Avoid premature commitment (Green)
- Tangible interaction should be a good candidate, but few examples exist

Appropriation: Musink

Tsandilas, Letondal & Mackay, 2009

- Let users create their own musical symbols and decide when and how the computer should interpret them
- Semi-structured delayed interpretation

score pointers



scoping gestures



textual elements




connectors




Appropriation: Knotty Gestures

Tsandilas & Mackay, 2010

- Interactive Paper
Users interact as they write
or define their own gestures and interact with them later




Drawing a Math Calculator



Knots may define ranges or act as traces of past interactions with specific values

Benefits of instruments

- Decouple data/information from the tools used to view/edit it
- Provide a natural way to support user customization / appropriation
- Foster a different business model for software, based on components and interoperability



Next steps

- Refine the conceptual model
 - Information substrates
 - + interaction protocols
 - + instruments
 - Explore the use of instruments with objects they were not designed for
- Build a robust and scalable software infrastructure
- Test in various settings - including TUIs



Thank you!

Michel Beaudouin-Lafon • <http://www.lri.fr/~mbl> • mbl@lri.fr